



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/686,742 | 10/11/2000 | Cyril Cabral JR. | YOR000361US1 (057) | 5005 |

7590 04/07/2003

Tung & Associates
838 W Long Lake Rd
Suite 120
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

CRUZ, LOURDES C

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2827

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Washington, D.C. 20231

09/686748

| APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

20

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

The timely submission under 37 CFR 1.129(a) filed on 12-04-02 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because Applicant has failed to properly respond to objections to the drawings made regarding the cross-hatching of the Figures. The examiner is puzzled by Applicant's attachment of the section of the MPEP quoted by the examiner in the previous office action as the only comment regarding this objection since it is obvious that the examiner is aware of this section of the MPEP. Moreover, Applicant did not only fail to cross-hatch layers—such as dielectrics—following these guidelines, but also submitted a new figure with no cross-hatching patterns at all. Since the submission appears to be a *bona fide* attempt to provide a complete reply to the prior Office action, applicant is given a shortened statutory period of ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this letter, whichever is longer, to submit a complete reply. This shortened statutory period supersedes the time period set in the prior Office action. This time period may be extended pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a). If a notice of appeal and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) were filed prior to or with the payment of the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r), the payment of the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) by applicant is construed as a request to dismiss the appeal and to continue prosecution under 37 CFR 1.129(a). The appeal stands dismissed.


KAMAND CUNEOP
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800


L. Cruz